The Challenges of Accurately Predicting PV System Performance

Joshua S Stein Ph D. Sandia National Laboratories

Differentiating Quality PV Standards & Methodology for Underwriting Certainty March 6, 2012 - San Francisco, CA

Sandia is a multiprogram laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a Lockheed Martin Company, for the United States Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.



Introduction

- Predicting how a conventional PV system will perform in the future is a challenging task
 - Estimate future weather (irradiance, temperature, wind, etc...)
 - Characterize the technology
 - Model and technology-specific parameters and test methods
 - Choose and run a performance model
 - Models are generally opaque. Hard to know how inputs affect results, how methods are implemented, etc...
 - Estimate derate factors (soiling, shading, wiring losses, etc.)
 - Adjust performance for expected availability
 - O&M policy is important
- Further challenges for new technologies and designs.



Estimating Future Weather

Which data sources to use?

- Typical Meteorological Years (TMY, TMY2, TMY3)
 - Most of this data is not directly measured.
 - What is typical? How to estimate variability (e.g., P90)
- Satellite data (various vendors)
 - Indirect, calibrated model to few locations
- Ground data (limited timeframe and spatial extent)
- Hybrid methods
 - Measure, Correlate, Predict (ground-satellite)
 - Multi-year runs
- Data quality is very important for energy estimates
- Uncertainty vs. variability



Module Characterization

Current Situation

- Modules are rated at STC which does <u>not</u> tell you how the technology will perform at a given site.
- Module performance parameters describe performance under different conditions but are model-specific (.PAN files, diode parameters, Sandia parameters, etc.)
- Diverse and nonstandard methods for estimating parameters (spec sheet, Photon table, test lab, indoor vs. outdoor, proprietary code).

Recommendations

- Develop standard reporting of performance characteristics that can translate to how the technology will perform at a given site (e.g., IEC 61853-1 or reduced set).
- Develop and promote standard methods for estimating parameters (will be model-specific but should <u>not</u> be model-exclusive)
 - Quantify uncertainty in coefficient sets
 - Insist on validation



Choose and Run a Performance Model

Seems like a simple problem, right?



Reality is More Complicated Traditional Central Inverter System



National Laboratories

Choose and Run a Performance Model

• PV performance models are really modeling applications.

Standard Modeling Steps

- 1. Irradiance and Weather
- 2. Incident irradiance
- 3. Shading, soiling, reflection
- 4. Cell temperature
- 5. Module output
- 6. DC and mismatch losses
- 7. DC to DC MPPT
- 8. DC to AC conversion
- 9. AC losses



PVsyst Example



The Problem: Wide Variation in Modeling Results

- Variable levels of detail and sophistication in available models
- Models Do Not Always Agree
 - Field validation is rarely available to improve confidence
- Model accuracy and uncertainty have not been generally and independently verified
 - Uncertainty (x ± y) generally not stated
 - No validation standards
- New technology faces a barrier to inclusion into models
 - Rely on component databases with little QA or consistency



- Sandia Blind Study (2010)
 - o 20 modelers
 - \circ 7 models
- Results differ within and between models

Many knobs to turn - submodels, database selection, derates...



- PV plants are complex interconnected systems with thousands of components
- As profit margins tighten, effective design and operation and maintenance (O&M) strategies become vital to ensure that the plant operates as designed and delivers a return on investment.
- Important questions include:
 - What is the <u>value</u> of increased component reliability?
 - What level of monitoring maximizes my profits?
 - Should problems be fixed as soon as identified or as part of a periodic maintenance program?
 - What is the cost/risk/value of the warranty?



How Do We Succeed?

- Organized development of consensus standards that allow stakeholders in the value chain to:
 - Provide trusted PV production estimates using approved standard and valid methodologies and data
 - Evaluate pros and cons of different technologies
 - Demonstrate actual performance meets expectations
- Other industries provide great examples to follow
 - HVAC, EnergyStar, MPG ratings, others?
- DOE and National Labs can help to:
 - Facilitate, organize, and contribute to developing such standards
 - Conduct independent, applied research to support standard methodologies, model validation, and procedures.





